IN THE UNITED STATES I DISTRICT OF UTAR	DISTRICT COURT FOR THE ED IN UNITED STATES DISTRICT I, CENTRAL DIVISION  OURT, DISTRICT OF UTAH
THORNE RESEARCH, INC. and SOFTGEL FORMULATORS, INC., Plaintiffs,	FEB 2 0 2018  BY  NARK JONES, CLERK  SPECIAL VERDICT FORM  DEPUTY CLERK
vs.	Case No. 2:13-CV-784 TS
XYMOGEN, INC.	Judge Ted Stewart
Defendant.	
to Question 1, please proceed to Question	which claim or claims are infringed:  ease proceed to Question 2. If you answered "no" on 4.
2. What is the amount of damages that v infringement?	vill compensate Softgel for Xymogen's
Total dollar amount: \$	
Please proceed to Question 3.	
3. Was Xymogen's infringement of the 'S	888 Patent willful?
Yes	
No	

Please proceed to Question 4.

4.	4. Is any claim of the '888 Patent invalid due to derivation?	
	Yes	
	No	
	If you answered "yes," please state which claim or claims are invalid due to derivation:	
	Claim 1X	
	Claim 5	
	Please Proceed to Question 5.	
5.	Is any claim of the '888 patent invalid because of improper inventorship?	
	Yes	
	No	
	If you answered "yes," please state which claim or claims are invalid for improper inventorship.	
	Claim 1 X	
	Claim 5 X	
DATE	D this 20 day of February, 2018.	
	hou Bol	

Jury Foreperson